

BARRON COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. 2023- \_\_\_\_\_

**Resolution Opposing H.R. 3372, “To amend title 23, United States Code, to establish a safety data collection program for certain 6-axle vehicles, and for other purposes.”**

**TO THE BARRON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:**

1       **WHEREAS**, H.R. 3372 would allow the establishment of a data collection  
2 program for certain 6-axle vehicles, and for other purposes, and  
3

4       **WHEREAS**, Text of H.R. 3372 is attached to this Resolution, and  
5

6       **WHEREAS**, Attached to this Resolution is a letter dated September 5<sup>th</sup>, 2023 from  
7 Patrick B. Vander Sanden, Executive Director, Wisconsin Counties Highway Association  
8 setting for the details why WCHA is opposed to H.R. 3372, and  
9

10       **WHEREAS**, On October 5<sup>th</sup>, the Barron County Highway Committee voted in  
11 favor of this Resolution, i.e., in opposition to H.R. 3372, with Supervisors XXXX Voting  
12 in favor and Supervisors XXXXX Voting opposed.  
13

14       **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, That with passage of this resolution  
15 the Barron County Board of Supervisors does hereby express to our Federal Elected  
16 Officials their opposition to H.R. 3372, and  
17

18       **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, That Clerk Hodek is authorized to distribute this  
19 Resolution of opposition to H.R. 3372 to Congressman Tiffany and Senators, Johnson and  
20 Baldwin, and  
21

22       **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that publication of this resolution may occur  
23 through posting in accordance with Section 985.02 of the Wisconsin Statutes.

**OFFERED THIS 16<sup>th</sup> day of October 2023**

<p>Number of readings required: One ( <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ) Two ( <input type="checkbox"/> )</p> <p>Vote required for passage: Majority ( <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ) 2/3 Entire Board (20) ( <input type="checkbox"/> )</p> <p>Source of funding: Budgeted ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) General Fund ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Grant ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Contingency ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Other ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Details Not Applicable</p> <hr/> <p>Fiscal impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Current year total amount: \$ NA</li> <li>- Future years total amount: \$ NA</li> <li>- Effect on tax levy – current year - \$ NA</li> <li>- Effect on tax levy – future years - \$ NA</li> </ul> <p>Fiscal impact reviewed by County Finance Department</p> <hr/> <p>Jodi Busch, Finance Director</p> <p>Board Action: Adopted ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Failed ( <input type="checkbox"/> ) Tabled ( <input type="checkbox"/> )</p> <p>Approved as to form by County Administrator:</p> <hr/> <p>Jeffrey French, Administrator</p> <p>Approved as to form by Corporation Counsel:</p> <hr/> <p>John Muench, Corporation Counsel</p>	<hr/> <p>Highway Committee Chair: Marv Thompson (The Committee Chair signature verifies the action taken by the Committee.)</p> <hr/> <p>County Board Chair; Louie Okey</p>
--	---

118TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 3372

To amend title 23, United States Code, to establish a safety data collection program for certain 6-axle vehicles, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 16, 2023

Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota (for himself and Mr. COSTA) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

---

## A BILL

To amend title 23, United States Code, to establish a safety data collection program for certain 6-axle vehicles, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-  
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3   **SECTION 1. SAFETY DATA COLLECTION PROGRAM FOR  
4                   CERTAIN 6-AXLE VEHICLES.**

5       Section 127 of title 23, United States Code, is  
6 amended by adding at the end the following:

7       “(x) PILOT PROGRAM FOR SAFETY DATA COLLEC-  
8 TION ON CERTAIN 6-AXLE VEHICLES.—

1           “(1) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—Not later than 30  
2        days after the date of enactment of this subsection,  
3        the Secretary shall establish a pilot program (re-  
4        ferred to in this subsection as the ‘pilot program’)  
5        under which States admitted by the Secretary under  
6        paragraph (2) may allow covered 6-axle vehicles to  
7        be operated on the Interstate System in the State.

8           “(2) ADMISSION TO PILOT PROGRAM.—

9           “(A) INITIAL APPLICATION.—Beginning on  
10        the date that is 30 days after the date of enact-  
11        ment of this subsection, a State seeking to par-  
12        ticipate in the pilot program shall submit an  
13        application to the Secretary in electronic form,  
14        containing such administrative information as  
15        the Secretary may require, including a certifi-  
16        cation that the State has or will have the au-  
17        thority pursuant to State law to implement the  
18        pilot program.

19           “(B) ADMISSION.—The Secretary shall  
20        admit to the pilot program, on a rolling basis,  
21        States that submit a completed application  
22        under subparagraph (A).

23           “(C) ELECTION TO NO LONGER PARTICI-  
24        PATE.—If a State elects to no longer partici-

1 pate in the pilot program, the State shall notify  
2 the Secretary of such election.

3 “(3) MEANS OF IMPLEMENTATION.—

4 “(A) IN GENERAL.—To be eligible to par-  
5 ticipate in the pilot program, a State shall  
6 agree to implement the pilot program through  
7 the issuance of permits per vehicle or group of  
8 vehicles with respect to covered 6-axle vehicles.

9 “(B) PERMIT.—A permit described in sub-  
10 paragraph (A) shall—

11 “(i) describe the Interstate System  
12 routes that may be used while operating at  
13 greater than 80,000 pounds gross vehicle  
14 weight in a covered 6-axle vehicle; and

15 “(ii) require the permit holder to re-  
16 port to the State, with respect to each cov-  
17 ered 6-axle vehicle for which such permit  
18 was issued—

19 “(I) each accident (as such term  
20 is defined in section 390.5 of title 49,  
21 Code of Federal Regulations, as in ef-  
22 fect on the date of enactment of this  
23 subsection) that occurred in the State  
24 involving the covered 6-axle vehicle on  
25 the Interstate System in the State;

1                         “(II) the estimated gross vehicle  
2                         weight of each covered 6-axle vehicle  
3                         at the time of an accident described in  
4                         subclause (I); and

5                         “(III) the estimated miles trav-  
6                         eled by the covered 6-axle vehicle on  
7                         the Interstate System in the State an-  
8                         nually.

9                         “(C) SAFETY EQUIPMENT INCENTIVE.—

10                         “(i) FEE REDUCTION.—With respect  
11                         to any fee associated with a permit under  
12                         this paragraph, the State may reduce the  
13                         fee otherwise applicable to a vehicle by 67  
14                         percent if the vehicle is equipped with an  
15                         automatic emergency braking system, in-  
16                         cluding such systems in use on the date of  
17                         enactment of this subsection.

18                         “(ii) GROUP OF VEHICLES.—As ap-  
19                         plied to a permit for a group of vehicles,  
20                         the reduction under clause (i) shall only  
21                         apply with respect to individual vehicles in  
22                         the group that are equipped with an auto-  
23                         matic emergency breaking system, includ-  
24                         ing such systems in use on the date of en-  
25                         actment of this subsection.

1                 “(4) OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS NOT AF-  
2 FECTED.—This subsection shall not restrict—

3                     “(A) a vehicle that may operate under any  
4 other provision of this section or another Fed-  
5 eral law; or

6                     “(B) a State’s authority with respect to a  
7 vehicle that may operate under any other provi-  
8 sion of this section or another Federal law.

9                 “(5) NO HIGHWAY FUNDING REDUCTION.—Not-  
10 withstanding subsection (a), funds apportioned to a  
11 State under section 104 for any period may not be  
12 reduced because the State authorizes the operation  
13 of covered 6-axle vehicles within such State in ac-  
14 cordance with this subsection.

15                 “(6) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than the  
16 first March 1 after the date of enactment of this  
17 subsection, and annually thereafter, a State partici-  
18 pating in the pilot program shall submit to the Sec-  
19 retary with respect to the previous calendar year, a  
20 report on—

21                     “(A) the number of accidents (as such  
22 term is defined in section 390.5 of title 49,  
23 Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the  
24 date of enactment of this subsection)) that oc-  
25 curred on the Interstate System in the State in-

1 involving a covered 6-axle vehicle for which a per-  
2 mit was issued under the pilot program;

3                   “(B) the estimated gross vehicle weight of  
4                   each such vehicle at the time of the accident in  
5                   the State described in subparagraph (A); and

6                           “(C) the estimated miles traveled by such  
7                           vehicle on the Interstate System in the State.

8           “(7) TERMINATION OF PILOT PROGRAM.—

9                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in  
10                   subparagraph (B), the pilot program shall ter-  
11                   minate on the date that is 10 years after the  
12                   date of enactment of this subsection.

13                         “(B) ADDITIONAL APPLICATION; CONTINU-  
14                         ATION OF AUTHORITY.—For a period of 10  
15                         years beginning on the date described in sub-  
16                         paragraph (A), the Secretary may continue the  
17                         pilot program with respect to each State in the  
18                         program, upon the application of a State and  
19                         after consideration of—

1           “(8) COVERED 6-AXLE VEHICLE DEFINED.—In  
2        this subsection, the term ‘covered 6-axle vehicle’  
3        means a vehicle—

4           “(A) equipped with 6 or more axles;

5           “(B) for which the weight—

6           “(i) on any single axle of the vehicle  
7        does not exceed 20,000 pounds, including  
8        enforcement tolerances;

9           “(ii) on any tandem axle of the vehicle  
10       does not exceed 34,000 pounds, including  
11       enforcement tolerances; and

12           “(iii) on any group of three or more  
13       axles of the vehicle does not exceed 45,000  
14       pounds, including enforcement tolerances;

15           “(C) for which the gross weight does not  
16       exceed the lesser of—

17           “(i) 91,000 pounds, including enforce-  
18       ment tolerances; and

19           “(ii) the maximum permitted by the  
20       bridge formula under subsection (a); and

21           “(D) that is not a longer combination vehi-  
22       cle, as such term is defined in subsection  
23       (d)(4).”.

○



WCHA Chairperson:  
Marv Thompson, Barron County

WCHA Vice-Chair:  
Matthew Budde, Calumet County

WCHA Past Chairman:  
Tim Kessler, Washburn County

WCHA Treasurer:  
Jim Griesbach, Marathon County

WCHA President:  
Roland Hawk, P.E., Wood County

WCHA Secretary:  
Robbie Krejci, P.E., St. Croix County

WCHA Past President:  
Jon Johnson, Eau Claire County

WCHA Executive Director:  
Patrick B. Vander Sanden



September 5, 2023

On behalf of the Wisconsin County Highway Association, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose any legislation to increase the weight or length of semi-trucks. Our membership has very real concerns about allowing bigger trucks on our highways and local roads. These bigger trucks would threaten our infrastructure in the midst of efforts to improve our roads and bridges.

The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) found many concerns associated with bigger trucks in its 2016 Comprehensive Truck Size and Weights Limit Study. First, they identified more than 4,800 bridges on the interstate and national highway system that would have to be reinforced or replaced to accommodate 91,000-pound trucks, with a cost of \$1.1 billion. Additional research examined local bridges, which tend to be older and built to lower standards, finding 809 in Wisconsin that cannot accommodate those heavier trucks, with a replacement cost of \$433 million. This is a price tag that counties cannot afford to pay.

It is our understanding Congress is currently debating allowing a 91,000-pound truck "pilot" program on interstates (H.R. 3372). The legislation includes no monitoring of bridge or pavement damage, and no funding to cover the cost of additional degradation. When these trucks utilize local roads for fuel, rest and deliveries, they will damage the roads and bridges that we maintain, and our local taxpayers will foot the bill.

As always, thank you for your consideration. Feel free to reach out if we can be of any assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Patrick B. Vander Sanden".

Patrick B. Vander Sanden  
Executive Director  
Wisconsin County Highway Association (WCHA)

(608) 367-4126

info@wiscohwy.org 1502 W Broadway, Suite